

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/064,064	10/064,064 06/06/2002		Shoichi Sawa	086142-0521 3161	
22428	2428 7590 07/19/2004			EXAMINER	
FOLEY AND LARDNER SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007				SMITH, KIMBERLY S	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3644	
				DATE MAIL ED: 07/19/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/064,064 SAWA ET AL. Advisory Action Examiner **Art Unit** Kimberly S Smith 3644 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 01 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on ____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) \square they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or

(d) \(\square\) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment

5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the

6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly

7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

8. The drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. Other: ___

NOTE: .

Claim(s) allowed: ____.
Claim(s) objected to: ____.
Claim(s) rejected: .

canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):

application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicants traversal of the inherency of the projections extending only partially around the periphery is not found persuasive. As can clearly be seen in Figure 6 of White, there are three distinct projections about the periphery of the device lying within a common plane. As these projections clearly are not extending around the entire periphery of the device, it is considered inherent that the projections extend only partially around the periphery of the device. Further, if the projection did extend around the entire periphery, the device would not be capabale of performing its intended function, i.e. insertion into area 6 for locking the device in place. As such, it is maintained that White inherently discloses the projections extend only partially around the periphery. The Applicant's statement that the White reference does not disclose, teach or suggest "a guide groove for receiving the projection" or a "key groove" is also not found persuasive. As can best be seen in Figure 4, White in fact discloses a guide groove for receiving the projection (at 6) and a key groove for maintaining the projection (i.e. the upturned portion in which the projection sits).